

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/791,704	03/04/2004	Satoshi Murayama	MURAYAMA2	5100
1444	7590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C.			COONEY, JOHN M	
SUITE 300	STREET, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>WASHING</b>	TON, DC 20001-5303		1711	····

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(a)	
	Аррисацоп но.	Applicant(s)	
Office Astion Comments	10/791,704	MURAYAMA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	John m. Cooney	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a retion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	· 1		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ∑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[		ov the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreian priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		(-) (-) (-)	
1. Certified copies of the priority doc	uments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority doc		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the		<u></u>	
application from the International I	,	· ·	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	· —	)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO-Paper No(s)/Mail Date	(SB/08)		
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)	ffice Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0905	GB

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8 and 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bodnar et al.(5,143,945).

Bodnar et al. disclose preparations of rigid polyurethane foams comprising mixing and reacting isocyanate, polyol, water, catalyst, and low molecular weigh acid compounds which read on the compositions and methods of applicants' claims. (see column 4 lines 66 et seq. and column 3 line 60 – column 4 line 28, as well as, the entire document).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/791,704

Art Unit: 1711

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bodnar et al. as applied to claims 1-8 and 13-16 above, and further in view of Tsai (4,673,696).

Bodnar et al. differs from claims 9-12 in that it does not disclose the employment of maleic acid esters. However, Tsai discloses the employment of maleic acid esters as compatibility imparting agents in the preparation of urethane polymers (see abstract, examples, and the entire document). Accordingly, it would have been obvious for one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the maleic acid ester compatibility agents of Tsai in the preparations of Bodnar et al. for the purpose of imparting their compatibilizing effects to the materials employed in order to arrive at the products and processes of applicants' claims with the expectation of success in the absence of a showing of new or unexpected results.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Trinks et al.(5,349,040) is cited (column 3 lines 6-9) for its disclosure pertaining to Tsai {cited above} and other relevant materials in the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Cooney whose telephone number is 571-272-1070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck, can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN M. COONEY, JR.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3